



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/024,923

02/17/1998

DAN KIKINIS

P3295

8936

24739

7590

08/23/2005

CENTRAL COAST PATENT AGENCY
PO BOX 187
AROMAS, CA 95004

EXAMINER

FERRIS, DERRICK W

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2663

#45

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/024,923

Applicant(s)

KIKINIS, DAN

Examiner

Derrick W. Ferris

Art Unit

2663

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 July 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 17 February 1998 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/26/2005 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. This Office action is in response to applicant's paper filed 7/26/2005. **Claim 19** as amended is still in consideration for this application. Applicant has canceled claims 1-18.

3. The examiner **withdraws** the obviousness rejection to *Iwami et al.* in view of *Chang et al* for Final Office action filed 6/25/2003 since applicant canceled the claims rendering the rejection moot. However, the examiner notes the above rejection reads on newly added claim 19. As such, please see the same rejection below. Even though the same rejection is applied, the examiner has made the current rejection non-final in order to give applicant an opportunity to respond.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2663

5. **Claims 19** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,604,737 A to *Iwami et al. et al.* ("*Iwami*") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,198,738 B1 to *Chang et al.* ("*Chang*").

As such to **claim 19**, *Iwami* discloses a bridge unit and a method comprising a trunk line port for receiving and placing COST telephone calls (i.e., fig. 1, between 20 and 3, inherently there's a port in order to connect the PSTN network to the server); a data network port and circuitry for placing LAN calls (i.e., fig. 1 between 20 and 1, inherently there's a port in order to connect the server to the LAN); conversion between LAN and COST telephone calls (i.e., fig 7, 22, fig. 8, col. 11, ll. 5-15); a lookup table (i.e., column 17, ll. 3-7) relating COST telephone numbers to IP addresses (i.e., column 15, ll. 41-54, the terminal may have a telephone number so the communication may be established and connection to take place) wherein control routine function, extract specific data to access the lookup table (i.e., fig. 18, col. 15, ll. 41-55, the extension and/or the terminal address has to be extracted in order to be compared) and enabling two people to engage in a live conversation (i.e., fig. 8, col. 11, ll. 20 – column 12, ll. 15).

Iwami is silent or deficient to the further limitation that the LAN network includes the Internet. However, *Iwami* discloses that that the communication terminal could be using TCP/IP or UDP/IP (i.e., column 17, ll. 44-58; voice communication may be adapted to support these protocols).

Chang teaches the further recited limitation above at e.g., figure 1, 20; column 1, ll. 13-25).

Art Unit: 2663

The proposed modification of the above-applied reference(s) necessary to arrive at the claimed subject matter would be to modify *Iwami* by clarifying that the network is the Internet.

As such, examiner notes that it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art prior to applicant's invention to include the above limitation. In particular, the motivation for modifying the reference or to combine the reference teachings would be in order to communicate with the greatest number of possible users. The motivation is also the desire to use the network that is most broadly available and therefore preferred.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Derrick W. Ferris whose telephone number is (571) 272-3123. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9 A.M. - 4:30 P.M. E.S.T.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Ngo can be reached on (571)272-3139. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Derrick W. Ferris


Application/Control Number: 09/024,923

Page 5

Art Unit: 2663


DWF

Examiner
Art Unit 2663

 8/17/05
DERRICK FERRIS
PATENT EXAMINER